Experimental Investigation of Concrete Beams and Columns with Fiber Reinforced Polymer Rebars

Prof. Gunasheela P¹, Prof. Priyadarshini H P

¹Assistant professor, Civil Engineering Department, R R Institute of technology, Bangalore ²Assistant professor, Civil Engineering Department, R R Institute of technology, Bangalore

Abstract: Repair and refit of existing structures have been among the most pressing concerns in civil engineering in recent years. One of the most significant problems limiting structure service life is the corrosion of steel bar reinforcing. Furthermore, the cost of maintaining concrete corroded reinforced parts is prohibitively high. The use of corrosion-resistant materials, such as Fiber Reinforced Polymer (FRP), is one answer to this problem. This technique has lately emerged as one of the alternatives to steel utilization. There is a scarcity of dependable data regarding durability. Experiment with flexural behavior of GFRP beam and column. For M25 mix, a total of eighteen beams were tested. All of the beams were evaluated with two-point static loading. The studies demonstrated, the study of beam reinforced with steel bars and GFRP bars related to deflection, first load at which crack appeared and their crack pattern. The study of cylinder reinforced with steel bars and GFRP bras related to compressive strength.

Keywords: Concrete beams, GFRP Bars, Deflection.

1. INTRODUCTION

The reinforced concrete beam is one of the most important structural parts that can primarily absorb load. Steel bar corrosion reinforcement is one of the most serious issues limiting the service life of constructions. Furthermore, maintaining concrete with corroded reinforced portions is too expensive.

One solution is to use corrosion-resistant materials, such as Fiber Reinforced Polymer (FRP). This technique has recently emerged as one of the alternatives to steel use. There is a scarcity of reliable durability data. Furthermore, there have been few trials in the domain of concrete design and reinforced structure construction using Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer (GFRP). Engineers must consider the varieties within the physical qualities and the characteristics of execution when choosing whether to utilize FRP bars. The truth that all of the FRP bars stay straightly versatile until break and show no ductility in comparison to conventional steel bars could be a exceptionally vital thought for the creator. The committee 440 ACI's design rules exhort utilizing a least sum of FRP area instead of a greatest sum when utilizing FRP bars.

Concrete will serve as a witness and a caution of a potential break as a result. The steel reinforcement in concrete absorbstensile stress, shear pressure or even compressive pressure within the strengthened concrete shape.

The compressive power of concrete and tensile strength of metal works collectively in bolstered concrete member to maintain diverse stresses that stumble upon its lifetime for considerable span. Fibre strengthened Polymer (FRP) is used as a structural engineeringclothincivilengineeringclothinCivilEngin eeringfieldwhich includes strengthening of shape made of concrete, masonry, steel and even timber. Manyresearches proved that the use of FRP in RCC flexural contributors improves flexural strength. FRP materials are used as vital materials of the modernday concrete structures.

TheFRPsubstances, haveprogressed structural overallp erformance, intermsof stability, energy (Including impro ved resistance to fatigue loading) and sturdiness. Other Factors consist of comfort in mass manufacturing with relative economic system and high nice control. In our project we made an attempt to study strength properties of GFRP bars and steel bars. Replacing the steel bars with GFRP bras in the beams.

2. METHODOLOGY

These various properties and test are carried out are followed,

a.ToStudyofcomparativepropertiesofFRPrebars and steel rebars.

b. Tostudyofflexuralproperties of beamby using ste elbars and GFRP rebars.

c. Tostudyofshearpropertiesofbeambyusingsteelb arsand GFRP rebars.To study compression strengthofcolumnbyusingGFRPrebars.

CastingofSpecimen&ExperimentalMethodolo gy

A total of eighteen beams were tested for M25mix. From this, six beam reinforced with steelbars, six beam reinforced with GFRP rebars andsix beam reinforced with combination steelbarsandGFRP

rebars.Also6cylinderswerecasted and tested for compressive strength. From three reinforced with steel bars and threereinforced with GFRP The reinforcementwas bars. designed considering a balanced section for he expected characteristic strength. All the beamtested under static two-point loading. The studiesdemonstrated, the study of beam reinforced withsteel bars and GFRP bars related to deflection, first load at which crack appeared and their

crackpattern.Thestudyofcylinderreinforcedwithst eel bars and GFRP bras related to compressivestrength.

Materials-

Steel bars-the steel bars incorporated in beamsectionareFe500.Whereyieldstrengthof500 N/mm2as per IS 1786.

GFRPBars-

GFRPbarsaremanufacturedbypultrusionprocessw ith75% of glass fiber composition. These bars are available with outerdiameterfrom4to20mmwithevenlydistribute d spiral relief of any construction lengthbasedonrequirementSincefixturehasmorefi bercontenthasgoodphysical, chemical and strength characteristics which is compared withsteelbarand summarized in Table 1.

Beamspecimen-

Concretebeams, 1000mmx200mm

x150mmarecastedfortestingofspecimen.Allth especimenswerepreparedinaccordancewithIn dianStandardSpecificationIS516-

1959.Everyspecimen consisting18beams.

Cylinder:

Concrete cylinders, 200mm x 400mm are

casted for testing of specimen. All the specimens wereprepared in accordance with Indian Standard Sp ecification IS 516-

1959.Everyspecimenconsisting6 cylinders.

Experimentalsetupandinvestigation



The experimental beams with nominal length of 1000mm and the distance between load appliedbeing 420 mm were loaded by two point loading.Eachspecimenwassupportedonrollerasse mblies with knife edges in order to locate the exact supporting point Fig2.Shows the test setup.

Fig1.Experimental setup

Testing of beams were conducted under UTM ofcapacity1200KN.Thebehaviorofbeamisinspectedo verconnectingseveralparameterslike, deflection, crack pattern and crack width,wasrecorded and analyzed.

Flexuralstrength

Tableno1:AverageValueofflexuralstrength:

| S | | 28 | Average(MPa)2 | | | |
|---|------------------|----------|---------------|--|--|--|
| r | Beams | daysstre | 8 | | | |
| Ν | | ngth | davs | | | |
| 0 | | 8. | - | | | |
| 1 | | 8.2 | | | | |
| 1 | D. | 0.2 | | | | |
| - | Beam | | 7.5 | | | |
| 2 | reinforced with | 7.6 | | | | |
| | steelbars | | | | | |
| 3 | | 7.5 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| I | | 7.7 | | | | |
| | Beam | | 7.6 | | | |
| 2 | reinforcedwithG | Q | | | | |
| | FRPbars | 0 | | | | |
| 3 | | 7.5 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1 | Beam | 8.5 | | | | |
| | reinforcedwith | 0.5 | 9.4 | | | |
| 2 | staal hars atter | 0.8 | 2.4 | | | |
| 2 | | 9.0 | | | | |
| 2 | and GFRP | 10.2 | | | | |
| 3 | barsatbottom. | 10.2 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1 | Beam | 8.7 | | | | |
| | reinforcedwith | 0.7 | 8.2 | | | |
| 2 | GFRP bars attop | 7.6 | | | | |
| | and steel | 7.0 | | | | |
| 3 | barsatbottom. | | | | | |
| | 2 | 8.1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |



Fig2Flexuralstrength

Load-deflectionbehavior-

Theexperimentalloadtomidspandeflectioncurves and failure loads of the steel bars, GFRPbars, and composite reinforced concrete beamsare presented.



FigNo3:Deflection

Table 3: First crack load of steel beam and GFRPBeams.

| SrNo. | Beam s | First crackloa d(KN) | Deflectio n(MM) |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | Beam | 6 4 | 1.79 |
| ² Mpa | reinforcedwit h steelbars | 7 0 | 2.36 |
| sth(| | 5 9 | 2.78 |
| 1 Itrei | Beam | 3 9 | 5.26 |
| 2 sives | reinforcedwith GFRPbars | 4 9 | 4.81 |
| 3 Ores | | 3 7 | 4.41 |
| 1 00 | Beam reinforcedwith | 7 0 | 2.84 |
| 2 | steel bars at topand GFRP bars | 5 9 | 2.35 |
| 3 | atbottom. | 7 9 | 2.19 |
| 1 | Beam reinforcedwith | 7 0 | 2.81 |
| 2 | GFRP bars attop and steel bars | 5 8 | 2.36 |
| 3 | atbottom. | 6 4 | 1.79 |

Crack Pattern:

TypesofShearFailure ofbeam:

1) **Diagonaltensionfailure:**Diagonaltensionfail ure occurs near the support where shear force is large as compared to bending moment. Thecracksformedmakes45degrees withhorizontal.

2) Diagonal compression failure:This type offailure occurs at the span between support andmid-

span. At that movements hear force and moment

shows approx. equal value. This type offailuremakes 45to 90degreeswith horizontal.

3) Flexural tension failure:This type of failureoccurs at mid span of beam. Value of moment ismore than shear force. Makes Exactly 90 degrees with horizontal.



Figno4:Firstcrackload inKn



Figno5:compressivestrength

1. RESULTANDDISCUSSION

After 28 days, steel-reinforced beams have aflexural strength of 7.7 N/mm2. Additionally, theflexuralstrengthofbeamsstrengthenedwithGFRP rebars is 7.5 N/mm2 after 28 davs. Theflexural strength of the beams, which are bottom mountedGFRPbeamsandtop-

mountedsteelbarsforreinforcement, is 9.5N/mm2. Bea msreinforced with GFRP bars at the top and steelbars at the bottom have a flexural strength of 8.3N/mm2.

- After28days,theaverageultimateloadapplied to a steel-reinforced beam is 83.68 KN.Additionally, the average ultimate load placed onGFRP-reinforced beams after 28 days is 75.10KN.TheaverageultimateappliedonGFRP beamsatthebottomandsteelbarsatthetopis90.2 7KN.Onbeamsstrengthenedwithsteelbars at the bottom and GFRP bars at the top, theaverageultimate loadis86.38 KN.
- 2) The average deflection of the tested beams in the group for steel-reinforced beams is 2.31 mm.Additionally, GFRP rebar-reinforced beams havea 4.82 mmdeflection.
- 3) The average deflection of all the tested beamsin the three groups—those reinforced with steelbars at the top and GFRP beams at the bottom—is 2.46 mm, and for those reinforced with GFRPbarsatthetopandsteelbarsbeamsatthebott om,itis2.32 mm.
- 4) The crack pattern in the two types of beamswas diagonal tension failure, however in someinstancesitwas flexural failure.
- 5) The average strength of the first crack in steel-and GFRP-reinforced beams is 64 KN and 45KN, respectively.
- 6) The average initial crack load in a beam that isstrengthened with steel at the top and GFRP barsatthebottomis70mm.andthe68mmwidebeam is reinforced with steel bars at the bottomandGFRP bars at the top.
- Thesteel-barreinforcedcylinderhasacompressivestrengthof 10.42N/mm2.Andadditionally reinforced with GFRP bars, is 11.9N/mm².

2. CONCLUSION

Adetailedstudyhasbeencarriedoutontheflexur alandshearstrengthofsteelreinforcedbeamsan dGFRPbarsreinforcedbeams.Andcompressiv estrengthoncylinder.Hencethefollowing conclusion are considered based on theresultsandobservations are following.

 The flexural strength of beam reinforced withcombinationSteelandGFRPbarsisslightl ymorethanthatofbeamreinforcedwithsteelbar s. Also, flexural strength of beam reinforcedonly GFRP bars is lesser than beam reinforcedwithonly steel.

2)GFRP

barshaveaweakerelasticitymodulus,whichge neratemoredeflectionforequalloadsandspans. Comparing. LoadandDeflectionrates,deflectionofGPCbeams reinforced with combination of Steel barsand GFRP bars is slightly less as load bared bythis beamismore.

- 3) Deflection in beam reinforced with steel barsis slightly more as load bared is less as comparedto beam reinforced with combination of steel andGFRP.
- 4) First crack occurred in GFRP reinforced beamis at that particular load is 24% greater than thatbeamreinforced withsteel bar.
- 5) Crack pattern observed was Diagonal tensionfailure in both the types of beams while in somecases it wasflexuralfailure.

3. REFERENSES

- [1] DenvidLaua,b, HoatJoenPam. "Experimental study of hybrid FRP reinforced concrete beams". DepartmentofCivilandEnvironmentalEngineering, MassachusettsInstitute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA bDepartment of Civil Engineering, The University of HongKong, Pokfulam Road, HongKong,China. www.elsevier.com
- [2] AbdelmonemMasmoudia,MongiBenOuezdouaJame IBouaziz*"NewparameterdesignofGFRP* RC beams".
- [3] D.ShanthaKumaraandR.Rajkumar "ExperimentalIn vestigationonFlexural Behavior of Concrete Beam with

GlassFiberReinforcedPolymerRebarasInternalRein forcement. "P.G.Student,M.Tech.,DepartmentofCivi lEngineering,CHENNAI(T.N.) Int. J Chem. Sci.: 14(S1), 2016, 319-329ISSN0972-768X. (2012)

- [4] AliS.Shanour,AhmedA.Mahmoud,Maher
- A. Adam, Mohamed Said (2014), "ExperimentalInvestigation of Concrete Beams Reinforced withGFRP Bars." Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Benha University, 108Shoubra St., Shoubra, Cairo, Egypt.Volume5, Issuel1, November(2014), pp. 154-164©IAEME: www.iaeme.com/Ijciet.asp(2014).

[5]R.Murugan,G.Kumaran. "ExperimentonRCBeam sReinforcedwithGlassFiberReinforcedPolymerRein forcements".International journal ofInnovativeTechnologyandExploringEngineering.(IJITEE)ISSN:2278-3075,Volume 8,Issue6S4,

[6] ZeyangSuna, LinchenFua, De-Cheng Fenga, Apete R. Vatulokaa, Yang Weib, Gang Wua, c, "Experimental study on the flexural behavior ofconcrete beams reinforced with bundled hybridsteel/FRPbars. "SoutheastUniversity,KeyLab oratory of Concrete and Prestressed Concrete Structures of the Ministry of Education,

Nanjing210096, China. www.elsvier.com.

[7] Vishwal Deshmukh, 2Phadatare N. P (2020), "ExperimentalStudyofReplacementofSte elBarswithFRPBars" MTechStructurePVPITBl udgeon,AssociateProfessor,PVPITBludgeon.Int ernationalResearchJournalofEngineering 12/10 and Technology (IRJET) e-ISSN:2395-0056Volume:07Issue:08